IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

| Ernestine M. Nelson |) | C/A No. 8:06-2559-CMC-BHH |
|--|---|---------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| v. |) | OPINION AND ORDER |
| Michael J. Astrue, Commissioner of Social Security, |) | OF INION AND ORDER |
| Defendant. |) | |
| | | |

Plaintiff filed this action pursuant to Section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, as amended, (42 U.S.C. § 405(g)), seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner denying her claim for disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income under Titles II and XVI of the Social Security Act. The matter is currently before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Bruce Howe Hendricks made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(a) D.S.C.

This court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). The court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. *See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation."") (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

8:06-cv-02559-CMC Date Filed 10/17/07 Entry Number 17 Page 2 of 2

The United States Magistrate Judge has filed her Report and Recommendation, in which she

recommends that the Commissioner's decision be reversed and remanded under sentence four of 42

U.S.C. § 405(g) for further proceedings as set forth in the Report. No objections have been filed

and the time for doing so has expired.

After reviewing the record, the applicable law, the briefs of counsel and the findings and

recommendations of the Magistrate Judge, the court finds no clear error. Accordingly, the court

accepts the Recommendation.

Therefore, the Commissioner's decision is *reversed* pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C.

§ 405(g), and the case is *remanded* to the Commissioner for further proceedings as set forth in the

Report and Recommendation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Cameron McGowan Currie
CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina October 17, 2007

 $C:\label{local} C:\label{local} C:\label{loc$

2